





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/937,844 | 09/28/2001 | Shahram Mihan | 0050/50536 | 7068 | |
| 26474 | 7590 11/18/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | EIL & WEINKAUF 50 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. | | | CAIXIA | |
| | ON, DC 20036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1713 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/18/200 | 2 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | <u> </u> | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/937,844 | MIHAN ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Caixia Lu | 1713 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See | MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c) | the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriat | te extension | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | riod set forth in f the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below): | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: | | • | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet. | lered but does NOT plac | ce the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | issues which were new | ly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | эт орронаса. | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) approved or b) disappro | oved by the Examiner | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | and Examinor. | | | | |
| 10. Other: | (), | · | | | | |
| | | Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademody Office | | Art Unit: 1713 | | | | |



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same rationale as set forth in the previous office action mailed June, 18, 2003. In responding to applicants' argument about the unexpected results, applicants' attentions are directed to Table 1 of page 22, applicants own working examples, such as 11-16 and 18-20 listed in the table, do not give good polymer productivity.